	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/620,498	ECKERT, MICHAEL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hanh V. Tran	3637
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Final</u>		
(1) <u>Hanh V. Tran</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Tiberiu Weisz.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 October 2006	Time: <u>1:50 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		·
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted to determine whether or not a response to the Office action mailed on 4/5/2006 has been filed. Applicant's representative informed the examiner that no such response has been filed; thus, the application is to go abandoned.